arXiv:1410.5857v2 [math.DS] 3 Feb 2015

Density of the set of symbolic dynamics with all ergodic measures supported on periodic orbits

Tatiane Cardoso Batista
Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná
85053-525 Guarapuava, Brazil
E-mail: tatianebatista@utfpr.edu.br

Juliano dos Santos Gonschorowski
Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná
85053-525 Guarapuava, Brazil
E-mail: julianod@utfpr.edu.br

Fabio Armando Tal
Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo
05508-090 São Paulo, Brazil
E-mail: fabiotal@ime.usp.br

January 15, 2015

Abstract

Let K be the Cantor set. We prove that arbitrarily close to a homeomorphism $T : K \to K$ there exists a homeomorphism $\widetilde{T} : K \to K$ such that the ω-limit of every orbit is a periodic orbit. We also prove that arbitrarily close to an endomorphism $T : K \to K$ there exists an endomorphism $\widetilde{T} : K \to K$ close to T such that every orbit is finally periodic.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary XXXX; Secondary YYYY.
Key words and phrases: Ergodic Measures, Cantor Set, Periodic Orbits.

1 Introduction

Given X a topologic space, a dynamic $T : X \to X$ and an observable $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, both continuous functions, the fundamental question in ergodic optimization is to determine, among the set $M_T(X)$ of all T-invariant Borel probability measures, which measures maximize the functional $F_f : M_T(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ definided by:

$$F_f(\mu) = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

A measure maximizing this functional is usually called a f maximizing measure.

This relatively new field of study has seen a fast development in the last decade, and several interesting lines of research have been pursued, see for instance [J] and references therein. Among the different lines of research in this subject, one that has received great attention is the search to determine what is the typical support of maximizing measures, where typical is of course context dependent. For instance, one of the most relevant conjectures, which was later proved by Contreras in [C], considered the case where there existed a fixed expansive T and asked if, in the space of Lipschitz functions from X to $\mathbb{R}$, the set observables for which there exists a single f-maximizing measure, with this measure supported on a periodic orbit, is a G_δ.

In the same spirit but in a different context, some works search to determine what is the expected behaviour of maximizing measures when the observable f is fixed, but the dynamics varies in a given space. This has been done for instance when X is a compact Riemanian manifold, where in [TZ, TZ] the typical behaviour when T belongs to the set of homeomorphisms of X is studied, and in [TZ, BTG] this is done with T varying in the space of all continuous surjections of X. This work was born out of a similar question, to study this behaviour when X is the cantor set K, but the investigation led to a result which is somewhat more general, dealing only with the dynamics in K and bypassing discussions on the observable functions.

The Cantor set K is defined as a totally disconnected, perfect and compact metric space, and a classical result states that any two such sets are homeomorphic. Since all of our results are topological we can consider any realization of the Cantor set, and we will usually work with the set Σ_N of one sided or two sided sequences of N-symbols with the usual metric. We consider the sets $End(K)$ of endomorphisms of K, that is, all continuous

surjections of K, with the metric $D\left(T,\widetilde{T}\right)=\max\limits_{x\in K} d\left(T(x),\widetilde{T}(x)\right)$ and also the subset $Hom(K)$ of all homeomorphisms of K, endowed with the induced metric. Our main results are:

Theorem 1.1. *Given $T\in End(K)$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\widetilde{T}\in End(K)$ such that $D\left(T,\widetilde{T}\right)<\varepsilon$ and every orbit of $\widetilde{T}$ is finally periodic*[1]*.*

Theorem 1.2. *Given $T\in Hom(K)$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\widetilde{T}\in Hom(K)$ such that $D\left(T,\widetilde{T}\right)<\varepsilon$ and the ω-limit of every orbit of $\widetilde{T}$ is a periodic orbit.*

As direct consequence of these theorems we are able to obtain a positive answer for the ergodic optimization problem:

Corollary 1.3. *Let K be a Cantor set. Given an endomorphism (respectively homeomorphism) $T:K\to K$, a continuous function $f:K\to\mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an endomorphism (respectively homeomorphism) $\widetilde{T}:K\to K$ with*

$$D\left(T,\widetilde{T}\right)=\max_{x\in K} d\left(T(x),\widetilde{T}(x)\right)<\varepsilon$$

and such that $\widetilde{T}$ has a f-maximizing measure supported on a periodic orbit.

This short note is designed to be self-contained. There study of typical dynamics in the Cantor set apart from any ergodic optimization result has already some important literature. For instance, the works [AGW, AHK, BD] provide a much stronger result, showing the existence of a conjugacy class in $Hom(K)$ which itself is generic, and describing this conjugacy class. Parts of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 could be derived more directly from their work, but their proofs are more involved.

2 Preliminaries

We begin by listing some simple and immediate properties of the Cantor set:

Proposition 2.1. *A Cantor set can be partitioned into N disjoint nonempty Cantor sets.*

[1]We say that the orbit of a point $x\in K$ is finally periodic by T if there exist $j,N>0$ such that $T^{N+j}(x)=T^j(x)$

Proposition 2.2. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ and disjoint subsets $K_j \subset K$ such that*

(i) *K_j is a Cantor set, with $0 \leq j \leq M$;*

(ii) $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^{M} K_j$;

(iii) *For each $j = 1, 2, 3, ..., M$, $diam(K_j) < \varepsilon$.*

Proposition 2.3. *There exists a disjoint sequence $(K_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, $K_m \subset K$ and a point $p \in K \setminus \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} K_m$ such that*

(i) *K_m is a Cantor set, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$;*

(ii) $K = \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m\right) \cup \{p\}$;

(iii) *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for $m > m_0$, $diam(K_m) < \varepsilon$.*

3 Endomorphisms

Let Σ_N^+ be the space of the sequences $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $x_n \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, and consider the usual metric:

$$d(x, y) = 2^{-\min\{i:x_i\neq y_i\}} \text{ for } x, y \in \Sigma_N^+.$$

Define

$$W_i = \{x : \ x = (i, x_2, x_3, ...) \in \Sigma_N^+\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $T \in End(\Sigma_N^+)$ be such that for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, exists $x^i \in W_i$ with $T(x^i) \in W_i$. Then there exists $\widetilde{T} \in End(\Sigma_N^+)$ such that:*

$$\widetilde{T}(x) \in W_i \iff T(x) \in W_i,$$

and every orbit of $\widetilde{T}$ is finally periodic.

Proof. By the continuity of T, for all $i = 1, ..., N$, there exists l_i such that, if $R_i = x_2^i, x_3^i, ..., x_{l_i}^i$, then for all x of the form$(i, R_i, x_{l_i+1}, x_{l_i+2}, ...)$, $T(x) \in W_i$.

For each $i = 1, ..., N$, define $W_{i,i} = \{x : \ x = (i, R_i, x_{l_i+1}, x_{l_i+2}, ...) \in \Sigma_N^+\}$.

Let $\widetilde{T}(x) = \begin{cases} (i, R_i, R_i, R_i, ...) \text{ if } T(x) \in W_i \text{ but } x \notin W_{i,i} \\ (i, x_{l_i+1}, ...) \text{ if } x \in W_{i,i} \end{cases}$

For all $x \in K$ if $\widetilde{T}^n(x) \in W_{x_1,x_1}$ for all positive n, then $x = (x_1, R_{x_1}, R_{x_1}, ...)$ and x is fixed by $\widetilde{T}$. Otherwise there exists some $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $\widetilde{T}^{n_0+1}(x) \notin W_{x_1,x_1}$, in which case $\widetilde{T}^{n_0+1}(x)$ is also fixed by $\widetilde{T}$. □

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following theorem and of Proposition 2.2.

Theorem 3.2. *Given $T : K \to K$ an endomorphism and $K_1, ..., K_N$ disjoint Cantor sets with $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} K_i$, there exists an endomorphism $\widetilde{T} : K \to K$ such that:*

$$\widetilde{T}(x) \in K_i \Longleftrightarrow T(x) \in K_i,$$

and every orbit $\widetilde{T}$ is finally periodic.

Proof. The proof is given by induction on N. For N=1 it suffices to take $\widetilde{T}(x)$ to be the identity. Suppose now that the theorem is valid for $N-1$: If for all $i = 1, ..., N$, there exists $x \in K_i$ such that $T(x) \in K_i$, then by the previous lemma, the theorem is valid. Assume then that there exists some j, with $1 \leq j \leq N$ such that, for all $x \in K_j$ we have that $T(x) \notin K_j$. Let $V_l, 1 \leq l \leq N-1$ be disjoint Cantor sets satisfying $\bigcup_{l=1}^{N-1} V_l = K_j$ and that, for each l, there exists i_l such that $V_l \subset K_j \cap T^{-1}(K_{i_l})$. Since we allow for i_{l_1} to be equal to i_{l_2} even if $l_1 \neq l_2$, by proposition 2.1 we can further assume that each V_l is nonempty.

Since T is surjective, the sets $T^{-1}(K_j)$ is nonempty. Let $U_l, 1 \leq l \leq N-1$ be again disjoint nonempty Cantor sets satisfying $\bigcup_{l=1}^{N-1} U_l = T^{-1}(K_j)$ and such that, for each l, there exists k_l such that $U_l \subset K_{k_l} \cap T^{-1}(K_j)$.

Let, for each $1 \leq l \leq N-1$, h_l be a homeomorphism between U_l and V_l. Define $\widehat{T} : K \to K$ by

$$\widehat{T}(x) = \begin{cases} h_l(x) & \text{if } x \in U_l \\ T(x) & \text{if } x \notin T^{-1}(K_j) \end{cases}$$

Let $g : K \setminus K_j \to K \setminus K_j$ be

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} T(x) & \text{if } x \notin U_l \\ \widehat{T}^2(x) & \text{if } x \in U_l \end{cases}$$

By the induction hypothesis, there exists an endomorphism $\widetilde{g} : K \setminus K_j \to K \setminus K_j$ such that for $i = 1, ..., j-1, j+1, ..., N$:

$$\widetilde{g}(x) \in K_i \Longleftrightarrow g(x) \in K_i,$$

and every orbit is finally periodic.

Finally, define $\widetilde{T} : K \to K$ by

$$\widetilde{T}(x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{g}(x) & \text{if } x \notin K_j \cup T^{-1}(K_j) \\ \widehat{T}(x) & \text{if } x \in U_l \\ \widetilde{g}\left(h_l^{-1}(x)\right) & \text{if } x \in V_l \end{cases}$$

Since for all $x \notin K_j$, $\widetilde{g}(x)$ is equal to either $\widetilde{T}(x)$ or to $\widetilde{T}^2(x)$, and all $\widetilde{g}$ orbits are finally periodic, then all $\widetilde{T}$ orbits are also finally periodic.

□

4 Homeomorphisms

Theorem 1.2 is again a direct consequence of Proposition 2.2 and the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *Given $T : K \to K$ be a homeomorphism and let $K_1, ..., K_N$ be disjoint Cantor sets with $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} K_i$, there exists a homeomorphism $\widetilde{T} : K \to K$ such that:*

$$\widetilde{T}(x) \in K_i \iff T(x) \in K_i,$$

and the ω-limit of every orbit of $\widetilde{T}$ is a periodic orbit.

The proof of this theorem is also by induction and, similarly to Theorem 3.2, we analyzed the next particular case (Lemma 4.1) in order to use it in the first case its proof.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $T \in Hom(K)$ and $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_N$ be disjoint Cantor sets with $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} K_i$. Consider that for every $1 \leq i \leq N$, at least one of the following properties are satisfied:*

(i) *For any $y \in K_i$ we have $T^{-1}(y) \in K_i$,*

(ii) *For any $x \in K_i$ we have $T(x) \in K_i$.*

Then there exists a homeomorphism $\widetilde{T} : K \to K$ such that

$$\widetilde{T}(x) \in K_i \iff T(x) \in K_i,$$

and every orbit of $\widetilde{T}$ converges to a fixed point.

Proof. Let K_{R_l} be the Cantor sets that do not satisfy the property i), with $l = 1, ..., r$ for $1 \leq r \leq N$, K_{A_q} be the Cantor sets that do not satisfy property ii), with $q = 1, ..., n$, for $1 \leq n \leq N$, and let $\overline{K} = K \setminus \left((\bigcup_{l=1}^{r} K_{R_l}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{q=1}^{n} K_{A_q} \right) \right)$. Note that, $N \geq q + r$, and if $K_i \subset \overline{K}$, then $T(K_i) = K_i$. Furthermore, since $\overline{K}$ is invariant, $\bigcup_{l=1}^{r} K_{R_l}$ properly contains its image and $\bigcup_{q=1}^{n} K_{A_q}$ is properly contained in its image, r is null if and only if so is n.

1) For each l, q, define

$$W_{A_q}^{R_l} = \left\{ x \in K_{R_l} : \ T(x) \in K_{A_q} \right\} \neq \emptyset,$$

$$V_{R_l} = \{x \in K_{R_l} : \ T(x) \in K_{R_l}\}.$$

Note that $(\bigcup_{q=1}^{n} W_{A_q}^{R_l}) \cup V_{R_l} = K_{R_l}$, and that $T(V_{R_l}) = K_{R_l}$. By 2.3, for each $l \leq r$, there exists a sequence of Cantor sets $\widetilde{K}_m^l \subset V_{R_l}$ and a point $p_l \in V_{R_l}$ such that, $V_{R_l} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{K}_m^l \bigcup \{p_l\}$ with $diam(\widetilde{K}_m^l) \to 0$.

Consider, for each $m \geq 2$, the homeomorphism $h_m^l : \widetilde{K}_m^l \to \widetilde{K}_{m-1}^l$ and the homeomorphism $\overline{h}^l : \widetilde{K}_1^l \to \bigcup_{q=1}^{n} W_{A_q}^{R_l}$.

Define $\widehat{T_{R_l}} : V_{R_l} \to K_{R_l}$ by

$$\widehat{T_{R_l}}(x) = \begin{cases} h_m^l(x) & \text{if } x \in \widetilde{K}_m^l, \ m \geq 2 \\ \overline{h}^l(x) & \text{if } x \in \widetilde{K}_1^l \\ p_l & \text{if } x = p_l \end{cases}$$

Note that, $\widehat{T_{R_l}}^{m+1}(x) \notin V_{R_l}$, for $x \in \widetilde{K}_m^l$ with $x \neq p_l$.

2) For each K_{A_q}, there exists a sequence of Cantor sets $\widehat{K}_m^q \subset K_{A_q}$ and a point $p_q \in K_{A_q}$ such that, $K_{A_q} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \widehat{K}_m^q \bigcup \{p_q\}$ with $diam(\widehat{K}_m^q) \to 0$.

Let, for each $m \geq 2$, the homeomorphism $h_m^q : \widehat{K}_{m-1}^q \to \widehat{K}_m^q$.

Define $\widehat{T_{A_q}} : K_{A_q} \to K_{A_q}$ by

$$\widehat{T_{A_q}}(x) = \begin{cases} h_m^q(x) & \text{if } x \in \widehat{K}_{m-1}^q, \ m \geq 2 \\ p_q & \text{if } x = p_q \end{cases}$$

Note that, for all $x \in K_{A_q}, \widehat{T}_{A_q}(x)$ converges to fixed point $p_q \in K_{A_q}$.

To define the homeomorphism in K we used, for each A_q with $q = 1, \ldots, n$ and $1 \leq n \leq N$, there exists a homeomorphism $h_{A_q} : W_{A_q}^{R_1} \cup W_{A_q}^{R_2} \cup ... \cup W_{A_q}^{R_l} \to \widetilde{K}_1^l \subset K_{A_q}$.

Finally, using 1) and 2), we can define $\widetilde{T} : K \to K$ by

$$\widetilde{T}(x) = \begin{cases} \widehat{T_{R_l}}(x) & \text{if } x \in V_{R_l}, \ l = 1, ..., r \\ \widehat{T_{A_q}}(x) & \text{if } x \in K_{A_q}, \ q = 1, ..., n \\ h_{A_q}(x) & \text{if } x \in K_{R_l} \setminus V_{R_l}, \ l = 1, ..., r \\ \widehat{T}(x) = x & \text{if } x \in \overline{K} \end{cases}$$

□

Proof. of Theorem 4.1

The proof is by induction on N. The case where $N = 1$ is trivial, as it suffices to take $\widetilde{T}$ to be the identity. Assume the result is true for $N - 1$. There are two possibilities: First, for each i, K_i is either forward or backward invariant. Or there exists some j such that $T^{-1}(K_j) \neq K_j$ and $T(K_j) \neq K_j$. In the first possibility, the result follows from Lemma 4.2. So consider now the second possibility.

Let $U_0 = K_j \cup T^{-1}(K_j)$ and let $U_l, 1 \leq l \leq N - 1$ be again disjoint nonempty Cantor sets satisfying $\bigcup_{l=0}^{N-1} U_l = T^{-1}(K_j)$ and such that, for each $l > 0$, there exists $k_l \neq j$ such that $U_l \subset K_{k_l} \cap T^{-1}(K_j)$. Let $V_0 = U_0$,

and let $V_l, 1 \leq l \leq N-1$ be disjoint Cantor sets satisfying $\bigcup_{l=0}^{N-1} V_l = K_j$ and that, for each $l > 0$, there exists $i_l \neq 0$ such that $V_l \subset K_j \cap T^{-1}(K_{i_l})$. Again, by proposition 2.1 we can further assume that each $U_l, V_l, l > 0$ is nonempty and V_0 is empty only if so U_0.

Let, for each $0 \leq l \leq N-1$, h_l be a homeomorphism between U_l and V_l. Define $\widehat{T} : K \to K$ by

$$\widehat{T}(x) = \begin{cases} h_l(x) & \text{if } x \in U_l, \\ T(x) & \text{if } x \notin T^{-1}(K_j), \end{cases}$$

The rest of the proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Proof. of Corollary 1.3 It is a well known fact in ergodic maximization theory that given an endomorphisms T of a compact space and a continuous observable f, there is always at least one ergodic T invariant measure which is f maximizing. But if $\widetilde{T}$ is a transformation such that for every x the orbit by $\widetilde{T}$ is either finally periodic, or if the ω-limit of x is a periodic orbit, then it follows that any $\widetilde{T}$ invariant ergodic measures is supported on a periodic orbit. □

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by Capes, the second author was supported by CNPq and the third author was partially supported by CNPq and FAPESP

References

[AGW] E. Akin, E. Glasner and B. Weiss, *Generically there is but one self homeomorphism of the Cantor set*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), no. 7, 3613-3630.

[AHK] E. Akin, M. Hurley and J. Kennedy, *Dynamics of topologically generic homeomorphisms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 164 (2003), no. 783.

[BD] N. C. Bernardes Jr., U. B. Darji, *Graph theoretic structure of maps of the Cantor space*, Advances in Mathematics 231 (2012), 1655–1680.

[BTG] T. Batista, F. Tal and J. Gonschorowski, *Density of the set of endomorphisms with a maximizing measure supported on a periodic orbit*, arXiv:1406.7027.

[C] G. Contreras, *Ground atates are generically a periodic orbit*, arXiv:math.DS/1307.0559v2, 2014.

[J] O. Jenkinson. *Ergodic optimization*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems15 (2006), 197–224.

[TZ] F. Tal and S. Addas-Zanata, *Maximizing measures for endomorphisms of the circle*, Nonlinearity 21 (2008), 2347–2359.

[TZ] F. Tal and S. Addas-Zanata, *On maximizing measures of homeomorphisms on compact manifolds*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 200 (2008), 145–159.

[TZ] F. Tal and S. Addas-Zanata. *Support of maximizing measures for typical C^0 dynamics on compact manifolds*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 26 (2010), 795–804.